

---

# ADVISORY STATEMENT REGARDING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Bruce P. Elman LL.D.  
25 November 2023

---

## PURPOSE OF THE STATEMENT

- [1] This Statement is intended to assist Members of Council, the Local Board, and Committees (“Members”) in understanding their obligations regarding pecuniary conflicts of interest under the **Code of Conduct for Members of Council and Council’s Local Board and Committee Members** (hereinafter “**Code of Conduct**”), the Township’s **Procedural By-law 2022 - 47** (hereinafter “**Procedural By-Law**”), and the **Municipal Conflict of Interest Act** (hereinafter “**MCIA**”).
- [2] The Integrity Commissioner is a confidential resource available for clarification and advice on conflicts of interest. The Integrity Commissioner may provide oral and written advice to Members concerning the interpretation of, and compliance with, the **Code of Conduct**, the *Procedural By-law*, and the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act* as well as other ethical questions facing Members.

## THE CODE OF CONDUCT

- [3] Members must always adhere to the entire **Code of Conduct**. However, the following parts are particularly relevant to the rules surrounding conflict of interest:
- a. Part A (General Standards of Conduct)
  - b. Part B, Section 2 (Conflict of Interest)

## PROCEDURE BY-LAW

- [4] Members must always adhere to the entire *Procedural By-Law*. However, the following part is particularly relevant to the rules surrounding conflict of interest:
- a. Section 15 (Identification and Declaration of Pecuniary Interest)

## MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT

- [5] Members must always adhere to the entire *MClA*. Members should read and become familiar with the provisions of the *MClA* in their entirety in order to better understand issues surrounding conflicts of interest.

## RELEVANT LEGISLATION & POLICIES

- [6] In addition to the **Code of Conduct**, the *Municipality's Procedural By-law*, and the *MClA*, Members are governed by the *Municipal Act 2001*, the *Municipal Elections Act*, the *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA)*, and the *Criminal Code of Canada*.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- [7] Generally, a conflict of interest exists when a Member uses their position in a manner that puts them in a real or apparent conflict with their personal pecuniary (financial) interest (See **Code of Conduct**, section B-2).
- [8] The general guidelines on Conflict of Interest are found in section B-2 of the **Code of Conduct** and in section 15 of the *Procedural By-law*. Section B-2 of the **Code of Conduct** states that "Members have strict obligations to avoid conflicts of interest by taking the following steps whenever a Member has a direct or indirect pecuniary interest in any matter that is before Council in a meeting where the Member is present: (i) disclose the general nature of the Member's interest prior to any consideration of the matter in the meeting; (ii) refrain from participating in the discussion of the matter or in any vote on the matter; and (iii) refrain from attempting to influence the voting on the matter of question, before, during or after the meeting."
- [9] Section B-2 continues: "All Members have important ethical and statutory obligations to adhere to the steps outlined above to ensure avoidance of any conflict of interest in connection with carrying out the obligations of the Member's office."
- [10] The *MClA* endorses the following principles in relation to the duties of Members of Councils, Local Boards, and Committees:
1. The importance of integrity, independence and accountability in local government decision-making.
  2. The importance of certainty in reconciling the public duties and pecuniary interests of Members.

3. Members are expected to perform their duties of office with integrity and impartiality in a manner that will bear the closest scrutiny.
4. There is a benefit to municipalities, Local Boards, and Committees when Members have a broad range of knowledge and continue to be active in their own communities, whether in business, in the practice of a profession, in community associations, and otherwise. (See *MCIA*, section 1.1)

[10] Pecuniary interests can be direct or indirect (See *MCIA*, section 5(1) and *Procedural By-Law*, section 15.2).

[11] Indirect pecuniary interests can occur in these instances:

- a. If the Member (or his or her nominee)
  - i. Is a shareholder in, or a director or senior officer of, a corporation that does not offer its securities to the public (See *MCIA*, section 2(a)(i));
  - ii. Has a controlling interest in or is a director or senior officer of, a corporation that offers its securities to the public (See *MCIA*, section 2(a)(ii)); or
  - iii. Is a Member of a body that has a pecuniary interest in the matter (See *MCIA*, section 2(a)(iii));
- b. The Member is a partner of a person or is in the employment of a person that has a pecuniary interest in the matter (See *MCIA*, section 2(b)).

[12] The Member may have a “deemed” interest. A “deemed” interest occurs when the Member has an interest as a result of a familial relationship, if a parent, spouse or child of the Member has a pecuniary interest which is known to the Member (See *MCIA*, section 3).

[13] There are a number of very specific exceptions in section 4 of the *MCIA* which will permit a Member to participate in decisions even though he or she may have a pecuniary interest. In these instances, the Member is not deemed to have a pecuniary interest. Before determining whether any of these exceptions apply, the Member should consult the Integrity Commissioner.

[14] There are two exceptions of which Members should take note. A Member may be deemed **NOT** to have a pecuniary interest in these instances:

- a. by reason of the Member having a pecuniary interest which is **an interest in common** with electors generally (See *MCIA*, section 4(j)); or

b. by reason only of an interest of the Member which is **so remote or insignificant** in its nature that it cannot reasonably be regarded as likely to influence the member (See *MCIA*, section 4(k)). (Emphasis added)

- [15] The first of these exceptions -- the Member having a pecuniary interest which is an interest in common with electors generally -- is relatively straightforward. Here the Member needs to simply show that his or her pecuniary interest is no different than other electors – not necessarily all electors but a significant number of them. For example, a Member is not disqualified from voting merely because he or she possesses an interest in common with other ratepayers in the area. (See *Gammie v. Turner* 2013 ONSC 4563 (S.C.J.))
- [16] The second exception -- an interest of the Member is so remote or insignificant in its nature that it cannot reasonably be regarded as likely to influence the Member – is more complicated. For an interest to be remote or insignificant, the relationship between the Member and the subject matter must be so indirect or trivial that it leads to the conclusion that potential personal gain was not a motivating factor in the Member’s decision-making process.
- [17] The question of remoteness or insignificance does not relate to the amount of money at issue; the question relates to the importance of the matter to the Member. (See *D’Arcey v. Mino* (1991), 4 M.P.L.R. (2d) 26 (Ont. Gen. Div.) and *Magder v. Ford* (2012), 5 M.P.L.R. (5th) 1 (Ont. S.C.J.).
- [18] The test for this exception (section 4(k)) and for the exception under section 4(j) was provided in *Whiteley v. Schnurr* (1999), 4 M.P.L.R. (2d) 26 (Ont. Gen. Div.): “Would a reasonable elector, being apprised of all the circumstances, be more likely than not to regard the interest of the Councillor as likely to influence the Councillor’s action and decision on the question?”
- [19] Both of these exemptions involve circumstances in which a reasonable person, fully informed of the facts, viewing the matter realistically and practically, and having given the matter thorough consideration, would conclude that the pecuniary interest would not have affected the Member’s ability to make an impartial decision. (*Gammie v. Turner* as noted earlier)

[20] **A further note here:** A Court or an Integrity Commissioner would have to look at all of the circumstances including such factors as good faith, motive, history, and so forth, in making a decision on a Complaint brought against a Member.

## DUTY OF MEMBER

[21] As noted earlier, where a Member has any pecuniary interest (direct or indirect or deemed) in any matter and is present at a meeting of the Council or Local Board at which the matter is the subject of consideration, the Member:

- a. shall, prior to any consideration of the matter at the meeting, disclose the interest and the general nature thereof (See *MCI*A, section 5(1)(a));
- b. shall not take part in the discussion of, or vote on any question in respect of the matter (See *MCI*A, section 5(1)(b)); and
- c. shall not attempt in any way whether **before, during or after** the meeting to influence the voting on any such questions (See *MCI*A, section 5(1)(c)). (Emphasis added)

[22] Where the above meeting is not open to the public, in addition to complying with the requirements above, the Member shall leave the meeting or the part of the meeting during which the matter is under consideration (See *MCI*A, section 5(2)). [See also, *The Procedural By-law*, section 15.3.]

[23] Absence from a public meeting where the matter is discussed does not relieve a Member from disclosing the interest. If absent from the meeting, the Member is required to disclose the pecuniary interest at the first meeting attended by the Member, after the meeting in which the matter is discussed (See *Procedure By-Law*, section 11.4.4 and *MCI*A, section 5(3)). [See also, *The Procedural By-law*, section 15.4.]

[24] Further, within 72 hours, the Member shall file in writing with the Clerk or the Recording Secretary of the Board or Committee, as the case may be, the conflict and the nature of it for inclusion in the **Conflict of Interest Registry**.

[25] It is important that Members refrain from declaring a pecuniary conflict where a conflict does not exist within the terms of the *MCI*A. Some measures have been instituted in an attempt to discourage improper declarations of pecuniary interest. First, the Member shall provide a written statement of the interest and its general nature to the Clerk or designate either at the meeting in which the interest is disclosed or as soon as possible thereafter; and, second, each municipality is required to establish and maintain a Registry

of conflict of interest statements by Members, which will be available for public inspection (See *MCIA*, section 6.1).

## SANCTIONS

- [26] Penalties for Contravention of the *MCIA* may be significant: A Member (or former Member) who has been found to have contravened the *MCIA* may have his or her seat vacated. This is automatic if breach of *MCIA* is found to have occurred without excuse. The Member can, also, be disqualified from holding office as a Councillor for up to 7 years. The Member may have to make restitution of financial gain, if applicable. (See *MCIA*, section 9(1)).
- [27] Under the **Code of Conduct**, the sanctions are the usual ones under the section D-1 of the **Code**: (i) A reprimand; and/or (ii) Suspension of the remuneration paid to the Member (where applicable) in respect of his or her services as a Member for a period of up to 90 days; and/or (iii) Censure including removal from appointed Committees, Boards and/or liaison roles. The latter sanction and other actions may be taken for remedial purposes.

## DEFENCES/EXCUSES UNDER THE *MCIA*

- [28] Under section 9(2) of the *MCIA*, a breach of the statute by a Member may be excused if the Member or former Member,
- a. took reasonable measures to prevent the contravention;
  - b. disclosed the pecuniary interest and all relevant facts known to him or her to an Integrity Commissioner in a request for advice from the Commissioner under the *Municipal Act, 2001* and acted in accordance with the advice, if any, provided to the Member by the Commissioner; or
  - c. committed the contravention through inadvertence or by reason of an error in judgment made in good faith.

These provisions may also be applied so that a Member's seat will not be vacated, and Member or former Member will not be disqualified.

## ROLE OF THE INTEGRITY COMMISSIONER

- [29] Complaints may be brought to the Integrity Commissioner under the **Complaint Protocol** alleging that a Member has violated the **Code of Conduct**. Further, an elector may petition the Integrity Commissioner to apply to a judge for a determination of whether a Member has breached the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act (MCIA)*.

## FURTHER INFORMATION

This Statement is intended to provide general information. Members of Council, the Local Board, and Committees who seek clarification of any part of the **Code of Conduct** should consult with the Integrity Commissioner.

To rely on the advice of the Integrity Commissioner with respect to specific situations, Members of Council, Local Boards, and Committees must seek written advice consistent with the provisions of the **Code of Conduct**.



Bruce P. Elman LL.D.

Integrity Commissioner, Township of Pelee



Phone 519-724-2931 Fax 519-724-2470

Web [www.pelee.org](http://www.pelee.org) Email [integrity@pelee.ca](mailto:integrity@pelee.ca)

1045 West Shore Road, Pelee Island, ON, N0R 1M0