1. Call to Order

2. Confirmation of Previous Meeting Minutes
      (CHANGES or CORRECTIONS from Council)

3. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest

4. Delegations
   a. Sheldon Smith, Stantec Consulting
      i. Scudder Wharf – Alternatives Assessment Report

5. Reports

6. Action Reports
   a. Mayor Ray Durocher
      i. Report on Stakeholder Engagement Consultation – Conservation Authorities (Verbal);
      ii. Report on Ontario Provincial Police – Community Safety and Policing Roundtable; (Verbal)
         (QUESTIONS from Council to Mayor Durocher for clarification)
   b. Councillor Dave DeLellis –
      i. Report from Tourism, Economic Development Advisory and Hunt Committee
         i) 2020 Digital Media Campaign;
         ii) 2020 Tourism Capital Requests;
         iii) Tourism Windsor Essex Pelee Island – Staycation Expo;
      ii. Report from Drainage Committee;
         i) Drainage Project Update;
         ii) Resolution to appoint a member to the Drainage Committee (Verbal)
         (QUESTIONS from Council to Councillor DeLellis for clarification)
   c. Councillor Sheri Smith
      i. Request to Ministry of Transportation to erect signage at the West Dock; (Verbal)
         (QUESTIONS from Council to Councillor Smith for clarification)
   d. Drainage Superintendent –
      i. Report No. 2020-03 EC - Drainage Tile Loan Program (Background Information Report Only)
   e. Chief Administrative Officer and Clerk -
      i. Re-Assignment of Agricultural Lands –Airport Tender.

7. Consent Reports
   a. Treasurer-Disbursements Report as at February 27, 2020
      (QUESTIONS from Council to Treasurer for clarification)

8. Recognitions
   From Mayor Durocher

9. Communications and Petitions
   a. County of Essex – Pelee Island Transportation Study Advisory Committee (PITSAC) Meeting, Rural
      Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA) Follow-up.
      (QUESTIONS from Council)
   b. Corporation of the City of Sarnia – Resolution to support the halting of the construction of the Deep
      Geological Repository, in the Bruce Peninsula.
      (QUESTIONS from Council)
c. Ernie Hardeman Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs - seeking input on a proposal to streamline Drainage Act approval processes; and Township of Pelee amendment proposal response. (QUESTIONS from Council)

d. Pelee Island Co-operative Association - Scudder Harbour Remediation update and request for assistance. (QUESTIONS from Council)

e. Village of Merrickville-Wolford – Requesting support for resolution mandating the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry be required to provide evidence to municipalities prior to expanding wetland designations. (QUESTIONS from Council)

f. Town of Tecumseh – Requesting support for resolution asking the federal, provincial and all municipal governments lobby the telecommunications industry find a solution to increasing problem of misdialed 911 calls. (QUESTIONS from Council)

g. City of Quinte West and the Municipality of Strathroy-Caradoc - Requesting support for resolution encouraging the province to continue to provide program support to the Conservation Authorities in the Province of Ontario. (QUESTIONS from Council)

h. Ducks Unlimited Canada – Invitation to fundraising dinner and request for support and donation. (QUESTIONS from Council)

i. Thorold Wild Game Dinner – Requesting support and donation. (QUESTIONS from Council)

j. (QUESTIONS from Council)


10. Scheduled Motions

Action Motions

a. To receive the report from the Drainage Committee and appoint members. (DISCUSS, DEBATE & AMEND – Councillors)

b. To receive Report from Tourism, Economic Development Advisory and Hunt Committee and approve the following:
   i. Recommendations for the 2020 Digital Media Advertising Package. (DISCUSS, DEBATE & AMEND – Councillors)

c. To receive Report No. 2020-04 JH - To receive report and authorize the reassignment of a portion of the airport farmland lease. (DISCUSS, DEBATE & AMEND – Councillors)

Consent Motions

a. Township of Pelee consents to pass the following:
   i. Disbursements Report as at February 27, 2020.

11. Deferred Matters

12. Enquires

13. Emergent Matters

(COUNCILLORS may MAKE MOTIONS on any topic address throughout the course of the meeting.)

14. By-Laws

a. By-Law 2020-04; Being a Bylaw to provide for the appointment of a Tile Drainage Inspector for the Corporation of the Township of Pelee;
b. **By-Law 2020-05;** Being a By-law to Authorize a Borrowing By-law to aid in the construction of drainage works under the Tile Drainage Act;

c. **By-Law 2020-06;** Being a By-Law to Confirm Proceedings

15. **Adjournment**
TOWNSHIP OF PELEE
Regular Meeting of Council
Monday, January 13, 2020 5:30 pm
Royal Canadian Legion #403

DATE February 27, 2020

Mayor: Ray Durocher
Deputy Mayor: Dave Dawson
Councillors: Dayne Malloch, Dave DeLellis, Sherri Smith Ouellette

Staff: Michelle Feltz, Treasurer/Deputy Clerk
Kristine Horst, Administrative Assistant

Other: Eric Chamberlain, Drainage Superintendent
Members of the Public

1. Call to Order

2. Confirmation of Previous Meeting Minutes

   Moved By: Sherri Smith
   Seconded By: Dayne Malloch

3. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest
   None

4. Delegations
   a. Dave Dawson and Janice Hensel – Delegation for Ministry of Transportation regarding communication.

5. Reports

   Action Reports
   a. Deputy Mayor David Dawson – Report from Transportation Committee
      i. West Shore Road Condition – Report No 2020-01 JH

   b. Councillor Dave DeLellis – Report from Tourism, Economic Development Advisory and Hunt Committee
      i. 2020 Social Media Campaign (Verbal)
      ii. 2020 Pheasant Hunt Recommendations

   c. Drainage Superintendent
      i. Report No 2020-02 EC – To Award Tender for the Hooper, Henderson, and Irwin Parsons Drains Maintenance Project.

   Consent Reports
   a. Treasurer-Disbursements Report as at January 9, 2020

6. Recognitions
From Mayor Ray Durocher
a. Recognizing Dean Robillard’s Community Christmas Spirit over the holidays

7. Communications and Petitions
a. Township of Stone Mills and Municipality of Thames Centre – Requesting support for resolution encouraging the province to continue to provide program support to the Conservation Authorities in the Province of Ontario.
b. Town of Deep River – Requesting the Prime Minister of Canada, the Ministry of Natural Resources, and the remaining provincial Premiers support investment in the research and development of small modular reactor technology as an innovative, safe, low carbon energy option.
c. Dr. Sheila Horen, Colchester Guardian Rescue - Requesting donation of a weekend pass to Scudder Marina for annual raffle fundraiser.
d. 2020 Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) – Annual Conference, August 16th – August 19th, 2020.

8. Scheduled Motions

Action Motions
a. Resolution 2020-01 was CARRIED

Moved By: Dave Dawson
Seconded By: Dayne Malloch

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Corporation of the Township of Pelee hereby receives Report No 2020-01 JH re: West Shore Road Condition."

b. Resolution 2020-02 was CARRIED

Moved By: Dave DeLellis
Seconded By: Dayne Malloch

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby authorizes the Chief Administrative Officer/Clerk to prepare a Request for Proposal and Tender for the provision of Tourism Marketing Services for approval by council."

c. Resolution 2020-03 was CARRIED

Moved By: Dayne Malloch
Seconded By: Dave Dawson

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby consents to the following items: that the marketing recommendations be accepted and direct administration to work with the committee chair with support for the Pheasant Hunt."

d. Resolution 2020-04 was CARRIED

Moved By: Dayne Malloch
Seconded By: Dave Dawson

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby consents to the following items:
i. Price for Fall Licence: January 1st to July 31st - $250, August 1st on $300, and Clean up licence - $150
ii. Hunter limit of 375 per main fall hunt
iii. Maintain 13,500 birds for 2020
iv. Dates of main hunt: October 22nd-24th, October 29th-31st, and November 5th-7th 8am to 5pm

e. Resolution 2020-05 was RECORDED VOTE

    Dave DeLellis: Yes
    Dave Dawson: Yes
    Ray Durocher: No
    Dayne Malloch: Yes
    Sherri Smith: No

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby consents to the following items:
    i. Clean up hunts will be four weeks following the main hunts happening Thursday – Saturday."

f. Resolution 2020-06 was CARRIED

    Moved By: Dayne Malloch
    Seconded By: Sherri Smith

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Corporation of the Township of Pelee hereby receives Report No. 2020-02 EC – To Award Tender for the Hooper, Henderson, and Irwin Parsons Drains Maintenance Project in the amount of $202,551.82."

g. Resolution 2020-07 was CARRIED

    Moved By: Dave DeLellis
    Seconded By: Sherri Smith

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby consents to the following items:
    i. That the Township send a letter of support to the Town of Deep River and communicate that the Township is open to be a pilot project for a small modular reactor."

Consent Motions

a. Resolution 2020-08 was CARRIED

    Moved By: Dayne Malloch
    Seconded By: Dave Dawson

"Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby consents to the following items:
    i. Disbursements as at January 9, 2020 in the amount of $101,337.43."

9. Communications and Petitions

a. Communications received from Town of Deep River was supported by the Council of the Township of Pelee and a letter will be drafted as per the council resolution.
b. Council gave the directive to approve the donation of a weekend pass to Scudder Marina for the annual Colchester Guardian Rescue raffle fundraiser. Within the restriction that the weekend pass may not be used on holiday/long weekends, or the Pelee Unplugged festival weekend of August 14th-15th, 2020.


10. **Deferred Matters**
None

11. **Enquires**
None

12. **Emergent Matters**
None

13. **By-Laws**

   a. Resolution 2020-09 was CARRIED

   Moved By: Sherri Smith
   Seconded By: Dave Dawson

   “Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby adopts By-Law 2020-01; Being a By-Law to Levy 2020 Interim Taxes.”

   b. Resolution 2020-10 was CARRIED

   Moved By: Sherri Smith
   Seconded By: Dave Dawson

   “Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby adopts By-Law 2020-02; Being a By-Law to Authorize Interim Borrowing to meet Current Expenses.”

   c. Resolution 2020-11 was CARRIED

   Moved By: Sherri Smith
   Seconded By: Dayne Malloch

   “Be it Resolved that the Council of the Township of Pelee hereby adopts By-Law 2020-03; Being a By-Law to Confirm Proceedings.”

14. **Adjournment**

---

Signatures:

Raymond Durocher,
Mayor

Janice Hensel,
CAO/Clerk
Transport Canada & Municipalities of Pelee Island
Scudder Wharf Alternatives Assessment

DATE: February 24, 2020
NO. 4 a.
AGENDA
Agenda

- Background Information
- Remedial Alternatives
  - Base Case
  - Alternative 1
  - Alternative 2
  - Alternative 3
- Wharf rehabilitation example
- Next Steps
Safety Moment

Driving on Black Ice
Project Overview

Scudder Wharf is a decommissioned cargo and grain transportation terminal and is currently used for recreational purposes only.

TC has administration and control at the site and is planning to transfer the ownership and administration to the local Municipality.

Stantec was retained by PSPC to investigate and develop remedial alternatives for the future use of the Site.
Scudder Harbour

Located on North Shore Road

AKA “North Dock”

6 main structural components
Scudder Harbour

4 on-site features not considered in this assessment
Load Limits

Wharf was designed for a 15 tonne truck at a max speed of 8km/h

Riggs Engineering (2008) and Genivar (2014) conducted load evaluations indicating:
- Inner Main load capacity exceeds 25 tonnes
- Outer Main load capacity is less than 25 tonnes
• Completed a background review of previous reports:
  - 2013 RDI Report - GENIVAR
  - 2017 Visual Inspection report - Riggs Engineering
  - 2018 RDI Report – AECOM

• Conducted a site visit November 25, 2019 with:
  - Transport Canada, PSPC & Municipality of Pelee Island
  - Preliminary consultation with:
    - Municipality of Pelee Island & Pelee Island Winery

• Developed a two-dimensional wave model

What has Stantec done?
Wind and Wave Modeling

**Wind Rose Map**
- Dominant wind direction is SW

**Wave Rose Map**
- Dominant wave direction is NE

*Data from: NOAA Wave Buoy 45005 for the period of 1980 to 2018*

*significant wave height is the average height of the highest one-third of all waves measured*
Sediment Transport Modeling

Sediment transport modeling is currently underway. Stantec will provide figures for this slide upon completion.
Potential Commercial Uses

Aggregate imports

Pelee Island Winery imports/exports

Great Lakes cruises
Quite interested in wharf revitalization

Potential import/export materials for PIW's vineyard and cash crop operation:
- Grape skins
- Lime/Gypsum
- Fertilizer
- Straw
- Grains/Oilseeds
- Large/heavy equipment
- USA exports/imports

Potential vessels required:
- Hopper barge
- Flat-deck barge
- Self-propelled barge
Potential Site Inhabitant

- Snakes have been observed at the Outer End of the wharf
- Could possibly be the Lake Erie Watersnake
  - A Pelee Island native
  - Endangered species under the Species at Risk Act
Remedial Alternatives

Developed a 'base case' scenario assumed to be required based on safety, functionality and structural integrity of the facility

Developed 3 remedial alternatives based on the on-site requirements identified by TC and the Municipality

Alternatives can be selected for implementation in conjunction with the base case solution
Base Case

Rehabilitate to a safe and functional condition which would allow for ongoing recreational and occasional commercial uses

Designed within the existing wharf load capacity

Addresses:
- Inner Main
- Outer Main
- Offshore Breakwater
Base Case

Wharf upgrades:

- void filling
- parapet/curb repair
- deck resurfacing
- marine appurtenances repair/replacement
- electrical repair
- water supply
- visitor amenities (covered onshore shelter, visitor benches, signage)
- offshore breakwater infilling
Base Case
Cost Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner Main</td>
<td>$660,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Main</td>
<td>$1,185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore Breakwater</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base Case Total $2,095,000
Alternatives

None, one or multiple alternatives can be selected for execution in conjunction with the proposed base case

‘Do nothing’ and ‘complete wharf removal’ were not considered

Alternatives address:
- Outer End
- Breakwater
- MTO loading dock

Alternative cost estimates include:
- Construction and material costs
- Development of an EPP
- Engineering costs (10%)
- Pelee Island factor for remote access (20%)
- Contingency (25%)
Alternatives

1 - Outer End
   1A. Removal
   1B. Rehabilitation for recreational use
   1C. Do nothing (barricade)

2 - Breakwater
   2A. Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (parallel)
   2B. Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (oblique)
   2C. Reconfigure the offshore breakwater

3 - MTO loading dock
   3A. Recommission for future use
   3B. Reconfigure for future use
   3C. Removal
Alternative 1: Outer End

Scudder Wharf
Alternative 1: Outer End

1A. Removal
1B. Rehabilitation for recreational use
1C. Do nothing (barricade)
Alternative 1A: Outer End Removal

Remove the last 14.8m of the Wharf and cap off the north end with sheet pile

Pros
- Increase visual appeal
- Reduce risk to public safety

Cons
- Reduce the wave/wind shelter within the harbour from NW winds
- May impact the rate and location of sedimentation within the harbour
- Relocate navigational light
- Unknown risk for material disposal

Cost Estimate = $2,700,000
Alternative 1B: Rehabilitation for recreational use

Refurbishing the Outer End similar to the base case solution

Pros
- Provide aesthetic appeal
- Increased tourism/public attraction – visitor amenities
- Maintain wave/wind shelter within the harbour

Cons
- Does not benefit commercial users

Cost Estimate = $693,000
Alternative 1C: Do nothing (barricade)

Barricade off the outer end using jersey barriers

Pros
- Least costly
- Limits public access, increases safety
- Maintain wave/wind shelter within the harbour

Cons
- Short-term solution
- Requires a structural review for increased deadload

Cost Estimate = $30,000
Alternative 2: Breakwater

Scudder Wharf
**Alternative 2: Breakwater**

2A. Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (parallel)

2B. Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (oblique)

2C. Reconfigure the offshore breakwater
Alternative 2: Breakwater

40m rubble mound extension, similar to the existing breakwaters

Pros
- Will provide added protection to the harbour from NE winds

Cons
- Would need to withstand wind, waves and ice
- May impact the rate and location of sedimentation within the harbour
- May reduce harbor entrance area
- Needs to be within the federal property limit
Sediment Transport Modeling

Sediment transport modeling is currently underway. Stantec will provide figures for this slide upon completion.
Alternative 2: Breakwater

2A. Cost Estimate = $1,170,000 (~$30,000/m)

2B. Cost Estimate = $1,170,000 (~$30,000/m)

2C. Cost Estimate = $1,470,000 (~$37,000/m)

Preliminary cost estimates approximated the cost for a sheet pile breakwater to be double that of a rubble mound breakwater.
Alternative 3: MTO loading dock

Scudder Wharf
MTO loading dock background information

- Pile-supported mechanical ramp
- Constructed in the late 1990's
- Designed specifically for the Jiiman ferry
Alternative 3: MTO loading dock

3A. Recommission for future use

3B. Reconfigure for future use

3C. Removal
Alternative 3A: Recommission for future use

Recertify and recommission the mechanical commercial dock

**Pros**
- Allows for roll-on/roll-off commercial users

**Cons**
- Unknown current mechanical status
- Annual operation and maintenance costs
- Flexibility and compatibility to moor other vessels is an unknown risk

**Upfront Cost Estimate = $165,000**
**Annual Maintenance Cost Estimate = $10,000 - $50,000 / year**
Alternative 3B: Reconfigure for future use

Reconfiguration the structure from a mechanical ramp to a stationary/fixed ramp

**Pros**
- Allows for roll-on/roll-off commercial users
- No mechanical maintenance

**Cons**
- Annual operation and maintenance costs
- Flexibility and compatibility to moor other vessels is an unknown risk

*Upfront Cost Estimate = $575,000*

*Annual Maintenance Cost Estimate = $10,000 - $25,000 / year*
Alternative 3C: MTO dock Removal

Remove MTO loading dock

**Pros**
- One time cost – no annual fees
- Limit the hazard to public safety (no youth jumping off)

**Cons**
- No roll-on/roll-off accessibility
- Limits potential commercial users

**Cost Estimate = $500,000**
# Alternative Cost Estimate Summary

## Base Case
- **Inner Main**: $660,000
- **Outer Main**: $1,185,000
- **Offshore Breakwater**: $250,000
- **Total**: $2,095,000

## Alternative 1 - Outer End
1A. **Removal**: $2,700,000
1B. **Rehabilitation for recreational use**: $693,000
1C. **Do nothing (barricade)**: $30,000

## Alternative 2 - Breakwater
2A. **Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (parallel)**: $1,170,000
2B. **Reconfigure the eastern breakwater (oblique)**: $1,170,000
2C. **Reconfigure the offshore breakwater**: $1,470,000

## Alternative 3 - MTO loading dock
3A. **Recommission for future use**: $165,000
3B. **Reconfigure for future use**: $575,000
3C. **Removal**: $500,000
Recommendations

Stantec recommends:
- Base Case
- 1B - Rehabilitate the Outer End
- 2B - Extend the eastern breakwater at an oblique angle
- 3B - Reconfigure the MTO loading dock

Benefits of this alternative pairing:
- Optimal for recreational use
- Flexibility for potential commercial use
- Increased breakwater wave protection
- Upgraded facility amenities

Cost Estimate = $4,473,000
Example

Cape Croker, 2018
Wharf Rehabilitation
Next Steps
Council to select a preferred alternative(s)

**Stantec will...**
- Conduct sediment transport modeling
- Refine the cost estimates
- Provide conceptual design drawings for the selected alternative(s)
- Prepare a remedial alternatives report
Good morning Drainage Committee:

This is an email to update the group on the progress of the drainage projects:

**Hooper/Henderson/Irwin Parsons Drains – North and South**

The contract for this project was awarded by Pelee Council at the January Council Meeting. The contract documents are signed by the successful contractor which was Barrette Excavating. The contracts are on the CAO desk for signing. The contractor is planning to complete smaller brushing in the early spring. In addition, the contractor has hired a sub-contractor to complete the larger tree removals. I would recommend that the Township take an advantage of Tree Contractor if there are trees that the Township would like to be removed. Ditching will be completed in August/September.

**Gardner/Huffman Drain**

I have completed the revisions to the assessment schedules for the Gardner Drain and Huffman Drain. I will be in a position to hold an on-site meeting with the landowners this Spring. The only issue that I am having is the Huffman Drain (wide side of Coopers). The most recent engineer’s reports do not provide the abilities to complete maintenance on the Huffman Drain West Side. The only engineer’s report that completed work on the Huffman Drain West Side was completed in 1955. Unfortunately, the file is missing the plans and a full copy of the engineer’s report. I have contacted Stantec Consulting to see if there is a copy of the 1955 report and plan in their files. I will start to work on the tender for this project so that we can tender this project shortly after the on-site meeting.

**Drain No. 4/Patsy-Carter Drain**

I held a meeting on this project a year and half ago. The concerns were raised about the Drain No. 4. Unfortunately, the current report for the Drain No. 4 is part of the 1983 report for the Big Marsh Drainage System completed by Colby. This report did not provide separate schedules for the individual drains and canals. Therefore, we will need a new maintenance schedule for the Drain No. 4. And with that, I have prepared a report to Pelee Council to appoint Rood Engineering to prepare a new maintenance schedule for Drain No. 4 of the Big Marsh Drainage System. We will schedule an on-site meeting with the landowners once Council has appointed Rood Engineering.

**Robert Stoltz Drain**

This project was completed in the late summer of 2019. Since this work was completed Mr. Keith Ryersee has contacted the Township to advise that the work completed did not resolve his concerns. The outlet for farm tile drainage system was installed deeper than the grade to the drain bottom. This information was provided to the former drainage superintendent but this information was not in the file. Furthermore, Mr. Ryersee failed to attend the on-site meeting for the Robert Stoltz Drain or failed to contact the office to advise the issues with the tile outlet. Therefore, Mr. Ryersee has filed a new request to have the Robert Stoltz Drain improved. I have prepare a report to Pelee Council to appoint Rood Engineering to prepare a new report and plans for the improvement to the Stoltz Drain.

**Hamel Drain Extension – West Side of Henderson Road**

I have prepared a report to Pelee Council to appoint Rood Engineering to prepare a report and plans for the re-establishment of the Hamel Drain Extension. Based on Township files and bylaws, there uses to be an open drain on the west side of Henderson from Clutton to Ruggles Runs Road. Unfortunately, this drain has been filled in which has caused drainage issues with Henderson Road.
South Bay Drainage System

Mr. Ralph Rogers has submitted a request to improve or maintain the outlet portion of the South Bay Drainage System. The outlet is being plugged up with smaller lake stone and may cause out flow issues to the lake. The Township has completed some maintenance but Mr. Rogers is looking for a longer term solution to this problem. I have reached out to Dan Krutch, Landmark Engineering to seek his assistance in finding a solution to this problem.

Drain No. 2 and West Branch Drain No. 1

The contractor will be back this spring to burn the brush piles along West Pump Road. Also, the contractor will level and remove any debris in this spoil piles. The remainder of this project from West Pump Road/Center Dyke to East Shore Road will be completed in August/September 2020. Similar to last year, Natural Resources Solutions will be on-site to complete Habitat and Endangered Species review. The contractor will be also completing tile outlet repairs as part of this project. I have spent a couple of hours trying to locate the tiles and obtain measurement for the contractor. In addition to tile repairs, I would recommend that the Contractor complete some bank failure repairs along Center Dyke. The Contractor will be planning to bring all of the materials over in the spring.

DFO – Drain Classification Sampling

Yesterday, I received an email from OMAFRA on behalf of Department of Fisheries and Oceans providing information on the 2020 Unrated Municipal Drain Update and Request for Priority Drains to Sample in 2020. Attached is an email I sent today requesting the following drains for sampling to rating:

- Hooper Drain
- Irwin Parsons Drain North
- Gardner/Huffman Drain
- Drain No. 4

I am also requesting that the entire drainage system being classified over the next couple of years.

I think this is everything I am working on at this point. I would recommend that plan a committee meeting in April to review these project. Attached is the most recent drainage map for Pelee. I would recommend that the Committee spend time reviewing the map and we should come up with a 5 year maintenance plan. I will do the same in March.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns with the information provided.

Regards

Eric

Eric Chamberlain
Manager of Roads and Fleet
Town of Amherstburg
512 Sandwich St South, Amherstburg, ON, N9V 3R2
Tel: 519-736-3664 x2312 Fax: 519-736-7080 TTY: 519-736-9860
Overview

Administration has received an inquiry regarding the Tile Drainage Loan Program from a Pelee farmer.

Discussion

In Ontario, the Tile Loan Program, authorized by the Tile Drainage Act, provides loans to agricultural property owners to help them finance tile drainage projects. The Ontario Tile Drainage Loan Program provides the landowner with a 10 year term loan. Landowners are eligible for a loan of up to 75% of the value of the tile drainage works to a maximum of $50,000.00. The interest rates are 6% calculated annually.

The general process for a tile loan is:

1. Municipality passes their borrowing by-law. The existing bylaw requires updating.

2. Landowner applies for loan. All owners on property roll must be included and must sign the application in order to be considered.

3. Municipality Council considers loan application and makes decision. Administration notifies landowner of Council’s decision.

4. If approved, landowner hires licensed contractor to install drainage system.

5. Drainage inspector inspects drainage works during or shortly after installation in completed.

6. Inspection and Completion Certificate (ICC) is filled out and signed by drainage inspector.

7. Paperwork is submitted to administration
   a. Inspection and Completion Certificate
   b. Copy of Tile Drainage Map in paper and digitally
   c. Copy of Invoices
8. Administration ensures that the debenture generator is complete and correct. Recommend that the draft documents be submitted to OMAFRA for review prior to going to Council. The loan amount should be the same or less than what the landowner requested on the loan application.

9. Debenture package is taken to council which includes the rating by-law and Debenture Certificate. The rating By-Law and Debenture Certificate requires approval and signatures as per the Tile Loan Act.

10. Debenture package is sent to OMAFRA for processing. OMAFRA requires the debenture package before the 20th of the month prior to the debenture date. This should allow the Debenture funds to be issued to the municipality by the debenture date.

11. Municipality issues cheque to landowner less inspection and administration fees.

12. OMAFRA send invoice to the Municipality 11 months after the debenture date for the annual installment to be paid by the anniversary date. This same time frame the municipality should be collecting the annual installment from the landowner.

The Township collects the loan repayment from the applicant and remits then to OMAFRA. Defaulted payments are rare but are treated in the same manner as unpaid taxes. The loan can be repaid in full at any time. Township staff will contact OMAFRA to find out the amount still owing at the time of your proposed payout date.

There are some costs that are not eligible for funding through the Tile Loan Program:

- Harmonized Sales Tax (HST)
- Costs Not Related to Tile Drainage
- Costs Incurred off the Property
- Work Performed by an Unlicensed Contractor

The Township will be required to appoint a Drainage Inspector under the Tile Drainage Act by Bylaw. In addition, administration recommends a fee of $150.00 for completing tile drainage inspections. The Township’s Fee Schedule must be updated to include the Tile Drainage Inspection Fee.

In discussion with Mr. Andy Kester, OMAFRA Drainage Analyst/Drainage Inspector Approvals, Certification and Licensing, municipalities must pass a borrowing bylaw with the province in order to receive funding for tile drainage loans. Currently, the Township has $89,800 borrowing amount with OMAFRA under bylaw 1269. The original borrowing amount was $100,000 and the last tile loan was issued in 1985 for $10,200. Mr. Kester has recommended that the Township consider passing a new borrowing by-law.

Financial Impacts

There is no Financial Impacts in the Tile Drainage Loan Program. OMAFRA provides the funds to the applicant. The Township will gain some revenue from the tile drainage inspection from
the Drainage Inspector fee.

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. The report from the Drainage Superintendent dated September 26, 2019 regarding Ontario Drainage Tile Loan Program BE RECEIVED;

2. The Bylaw 2020-XXX, being the bylaw to appoint Eric Chamberlain as the Drainage Inspector under the Tile Drainage Act;

3. The Township’s Fee Schedule be updated to include the inspection fee of $150 for Tile Loan Program Applications;

4. Bylaw 1269, being a bylaw for the borrowing of funding for the purpose of the providing Tile Drainage Loans to Pelee farmland owners BE REPEALED;

5. Bylaw 2020-XXX being the bylaw to borrow the sum of $100,000 to be used to provide Tile Drainage Loans to Pelee agricultural land owners BE READ and FINALLY PASSED.

Eric Chamberlain  
Manager of Public Works

Michelle Feltz  
Treasurer

Janice Hensel  
Chief Administrative Officer

EC/
February 3, 2020

Gary McNamara
Warden – County of Essex
Chair - PITSAC
360 Fairview Ave. W
Suite #314 Essex, On N8M 1Y6

Dear Warden McNamara,

Thank you for your letter to Mr. Vijay Thanigasalam, Parliamentary Assistant, regarding the Pelee Island Transportation Service Advisory Committee (PITSAC) follow up. I have been asked to respond on behalf of Mr. Thanigasalam.

The Ministry of Transportation (MTO) is continuing discussions with the City of Sandusky as the proponent for the pier redevelopment. The City of Sandusky will be providing the ministry with final details in the coming weeks to ensure that the ministry, as a tenant, understands any potential impacts to the ferry service. This redevelopment work on the waterfront is a City of Sandusky initiative.

Based on discussions with the City of Sandusky in late 2019, the MTO proactively notified PITSAC on December 11, 2019 of potential impacts to the ferry service. Given the current state of the City of Sandusky’s project, specifically the status of Homeland Security Infrastructure work, the MTO is not comfortable committing to vehicle service in 2020.

MTO have reached out to the Township of Pelee staff and they support meeting with Pelee Council in February to provide an update on the City of Sandusky’s project and the impact on ferry service. In addition, we will work with the Township and other stakeholders to develop a communication plan for the upcoming temporary changes to the Sandusky service schedule for the 2020 sailing season.

The government is currently reviewing all projects and spending to ensure provincial transportation priorities are met. This includes a study to consider the retrofit needs of the MV Jilmaan prior to undertaking any vessel improvements. In the interim, the MV Pelee Islander is currently certified up to the end of the 2021 sailing season. We will certainly consult on the results of our study which is expected to be completed in late 2020.
If you require any further clarification or details, please contact my office at (519) 873-4335, or feel free to email me directly at Neil.Zohorsky@Ontario.ca. I welcome the opportunity for further discussions.

Thank you again for raising your concerns regarding our ferry services.

Sincerely,

Neil Zohorsky
Regional Director
Ministry of Transportation – West Region
Provincial Highways Management

c. Town of Kingsville – pvmwest@kingsville.ca; nsantos@kingsville.ca
   Municipality of Leamington – pneufeld@leamington.ca;
   macdonald@leamington.ca
   Township of Pelee – david.dawson322@sympatico.ca; janice.hensel@pelee.ca
   County of Essex – rmaisonville@countyofessex.ca
January 24, 2020

To: All Ontario Municipalities

Re: Ontario Power Generation’s Deep Geologic Repository Project

At its meeting held on January 20, 2020, Sarnia City Council considered the attached motion submitted by Councillor Margaret Bird with respect to the proposed Deep Geological Repository Project and adopted the following resolution:

That Sarnia City Council support the halting of the construction of the Deep Geological Repository, in the Bruce Peninsular, so that less dangerous solutions can be found for the longer storage of nuclear waste; and

That Sarnia City Council instruct the Sarnia City Clerk to notify all 444 Ontario Municipalities, through AMO, by January 24th., 2020, of our objective; and

That the Sarnia City Clerk send a copy of our resolution to the County of Lambton Clerk, along with all the County Councillors, asking for their endorsement.

Sarnia City Council respectfully seeks your endorsement of this resolution. If your municipal council endorses this resolution, we would request that a copy of the resolution be forwarded to the following:

Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson, Minister of the Environment and Climate Change ec.ministre-minister.ec@canada.ca; and

City of Sarnia, City Clerk’s Office clerks@sarnia.ca
Sincerely,

Dianne Gould-Brown
City Clerk

Attachment: Motion submitted by Councillor Margaret Bird
MOTION

I am asking for:

a) An urgent resolution of Council to support the halting of the construction of the Deep Geological Repository, in the Bruce Peninsular, so that less dangerous solutions can be found for the longer storage of nuclear waste.

b) To instruct the Sarnia City Clerk to notify all 444 Ontario Municipalities, through AMO, by January 24th, 2020, of our objective.

b) For the Sarnia City Clerk to send a copy of our resolution to the County of Lambton Clerk, along with all the County Councillors, asking for their endorsement.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Many thanks.

Kind Regards,

Councillor Bird
February 18, 2020

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
Food Safety and Environmental Policy Branch
1 Stone Road West, 2nd Floor SW
Guelph, Ontario
N1G 4Y2

Attention: Sara Peckford, Senior Policy Advisor, Environmental Policy Unit

Subject: Drainage Act Amendment Proposal

Dear Ms. Peckford,

The Township of Pelee has received the letter from Minister Ernie Hardeman dated January 22, 2020, requesting input on a proposal to streamline Drainage Act approval processes. We understand that OMAFRA is considering amendments to the Drainage Act with a goal of simplifying supporting technical protocols, streamlining approvals and simplifying administrative processes. Through discussions with OMAFRA staff, and during recent webinar stakeholder consultation, we have learned that changes being considered include,

- Creating a new process for minor improvements
- Accounting for changes to drain design during construction
- Authority to adopt protocols by reference in regulation

In the spirit of these three (3) proposed changes, the Township of Pelee wishes to offer four (4) suggestions that we feel would assist the Ministry in achieving its goal of streamlining the processes for Drainage Act projects.

1. Evaluation of the Need for the Consideration Meeting for Reports Completed Under Section 76(1) – Varying the original assessments for maintenance

Under Section 76(2), the proceedings upon reports completed under Section 76(1) for the varying of original assessments for maintenance "shall be same, as nearly as may be, as upon the report for the construction of the drainage works." Given that Section 76(1) reports deal exclusively with varying original assessments and do not make any recommendations for repair, improvement, construction, or any other works of drainage as defined under the Act, we feel that the Consideration Meeting adds an unnecessary step towards the adoption of the bylaw for the engineer’s report. Moreover, Tribunal Appeals under Section 48(1) address the rights of an owner of affected land or public utility to appeal to the Tribunal on specified grounds that deal exclusively with the recommended drainage works. In consideration that reports under Section 76(1) do not make recommendations for drainage works, it can be concluded that Tribunal appeals under Section 48(1) do not apply. In the absence of these types of appeals, we feel that a more streamlined approach to the consideration of Section 76(1) reports is

Website: www.pelee.org
1045 WEST SHORE ROAD, PELEE ISLAND, ON N0R 1M0
Phone: (519) 724-2931 Fax: (519) 724-2470
worth review. By revisiting the procedures dictated under Section 76(2) with a view to eliminating the Consideration Meeting and reducing the appeal periods that follow, landowners would save on engineering costs and municipalities would be better positioned to expeditiously carry forward with the maintenance work that typically follows the completion of Section 76(1) reports.

2. Evaluation of the Meeting to Consider Contract Price Under Section 59(1) for Projects Completed Under Section 78

Section 59(1) of the Drainage Act describes the “Meeting to consider contract price” and is required “where the contract price exceeds 133 percent of the engineer’s estimate of the contract price.” Through the specific use of the word shall, municipalities are obligated to call a meeting when contract prices are higher than this 133 percent threshold in the manner prescribed under Sections 41, 42, and 43. Section 59(2) is then to be used by Council for guidance relating to the outcome of the meeting. The oversight of this procedure is that it only applies to petition reports that have been completed under Section 4 of the Act. Section 59(2) states “if at the close of the meeting the petition contains a sufficient number of names to comply with section 4, the council may proceed with the construction of the drainage works.” For reports completed under Section 78, which are initiated without a petition, Section 59(2) does not seem to be relevant. If there is no petition from which landowners can either remove or add their signature, Council cannot use the provisions of Section 59(2) as a basis for its decision to proceed with a project. Other than to alert Council and landowners of the increase in contract cost, we question the purpose of conducting the meeting to consider the contract price. As such, we recommend the elimination of the meeting to consider the contract price, or at a minimum, an evaluation of its meaningfulness as it relates to Section 78 projects.

3. Establishment of a Clear Definition of the “Environmental Appraisal”

Under Section 6(1) of the Drainage Act, environmental appraisals can be requested by a local municipality, the conservation authority, or the Minister of Natural Resources following their receipt of a notice from the initiating municipality under Section 5(1). Section 6(1) further indicates that the party requesting the environmental appraisal shall be responsible for paying its costs. As OMAFRA is keenly aware, the costs of drainage related environmental protection measures has sky-rocketed. Municipalities are obligated to ensure that their projects are meeting the requirements set forth by prevalent provincial and federal environmental regulations. Drainage projects are not exempt from this obligation, though they are unique from most other municipal projects in that they are funded directly by landowners. Although Section 6(1) of the Drainage Act suggests that funding of drainage related environmental studies are to be funded by those parties that have requested them, the definition of what constitutes such a study is vague. Time after time, municipalities are forced to incur costs for specialized consultants, field surveys, permit applications, and report preparation, all at the request of an environmental regulatory body. With no other municipal funding sources, landowners and municipalities are left to bear the burden of these costs through drainage assessments. Since many of the affected lands are farm properties, agricultural grants are also expected to shoulder the financial load resulting from environmental studies.

The definition of an "environmental appraisal" is absent from the Drainage Act. Without such a definition, the recovery of any associated costs from the requesting party is impossible. Further, Section 6(1) points to Section 5(1) in its description of receipt of a notice of a project. When reviewing Section 5(1), one notes that the stipulated notification process relates only to petitions filed under Section 4; improvement projects initiated under Section 78 are not mentioned. This suggests that the notification processes described under Section 5(1) are not applicable for Section 78 projects. Now,
Section 78(2) obligates the municipality to notify the conservation authority prior to appointing an engineer, but stops short of making similar notification requirements to any other environmental authority. As these projects progress, environmental authorities often become involved, and the costs to ensure compliance can escalate. In such situations, recovery of these costs under Section 6(1), or any other section of the Act, is not possible despite the strong argument that these costs can be attributed to the requests and expectations of the environmental authority.

In summary, we feel that landowners and municipalities should not necessarily be burdened with the added expense of environmental protection measures. We also feel that grants offered through the Agricultural Drainage Infrastructure Program (ADIP), a program that is regularly stretched beyond its financial limits, is negatively impacted by these expenses. Through the inclusion of a clear definition of the environmental appraisal, and by evaluating the effectiveness of Section 6 as it relates to Section 78 projects, the Drainage Act would afford landowners and municipalities a greater sense of equitability when it comes to addressing the responsibility of environmental protection measures.

4. Streamlining the Processes Surrounding Bridge Replacement Projects

When the Township of Pelee receives a request from a landowner to replace or extend an existing access bridge within a municipal drain, an engineer is appointed to complete a report under Section 78 of the Act. This is following a review of the existing drainage reports and bylaws for the subject drain. In most cases, this review reveals that the most current report does not provide adequate technical or cost sharing provisions to complete the requested replacement or extension, leaving the municipality with no choice than to address the work under a Section 78 report. These reports are time consuming and costly. In the interest of reducing these costs and completing the desired work in a more expeditious manner, we feel that the Drainage Act could be amended to include a process that specifically addresses the replacement or extension of existing access bridges. This notwithstanding, we also recognize the importance and value of responsible and thorough design practices. As such, any new process should not be at the expense of engineering provided by the drainage practitioner. To this end, we offer the following steps for your consideration:

In the event that a landowner requests the replacement of an existing access bridge,

- A “quasi-engineering report” that provides technical specifications, complete with the necessary engineering drawings, would be required. This would be similar to a letter of opinion, and would be approved by Council by resolution.
- The drainage Act would specifically outline how the costs for the work would be shared, possibly at 50/50 split; 50% to the bridge owner, 50% to upstream lands according to the most recent engineering report. This provision would stay in place unless otherwise altered under a future engineering report. Alternatively, the Act could specify that the bridge owner would be required to pay the full 100% of the costs, which would help to eliminate any further landowner involvement.
- Landowner notification would be reduced, with less need for the on-site meeting. Discussions between the bridge owner and the engineer would still take place as usual, but there would be less need to involve other landowners within the affected watershed.
- The Consideration and Court of Revision meetings could be eliminated.
- This process could be applied to bridge replacements, bridge extensions, and drain enclosures.

By considering an approach that implements procedures that are similar to those listed above, the replacement of an existing access bridge can be treated less like an improvement project, and more like a maintenance project. This streamlined approach will significantly reduce the costs to landowners.
and speed up the existing process that seems to be unnecessarily delayed by meetings and legislated appeal periods. Furthermore, by stipulating Council resolution as a requirement, the process is validated and protected in a manner that is similar to other sections of the Drainage Act that has been proven to be effective and acceptable.

5. Township of Pelee – 2/3 Grant Funding Request

Under Section 87 of the Drainage Act, there are some regions of the province that received 2/3 grant funding for agricultural property for drainage project. The Township of Pelee would like to make a request for Pelee Agricultural properties to receive the same. Pelee is a remote municipality with the challenges to complete maintenance and improvements to municipal drains. The challenges results in increase cost of completing such drainage works which results in increase assessment of residents of Pelee. As a farmer of the Township of Pelee, the challenges extend to the cost of farming and getting harvested crop to the market. The Township of Pelee is located in the County of Essex however, the Township of Pelee is a single tier municipality. The County of Essex does not provide any services or funding to the Township of Pelee. The 2/3 grant funding will provide a more active drainage program as the Township of Pelee is heavily dependent on their drainage system. Therefore, the Township of Pelee is recommending special consideration for 2/3 grant funding provided through Agricultural Drainage Infrastructure Program.

In closing, we wish to express our appreciation in being included in this review process. We also hope that the outcome will lead to the refinement of the Drainage Act in such a way that will better serve all those that are affected by its provisions.

If you have any questions, or wish to speak directly, please do not hesitate to contact myself at (519) 736-3664 ext. 2312.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Eric Chamberlain, C.E.T.
Peele Drainage Superintendent

EC/
January 22, 2020

Janice Hensel  
CAO/Clerk  
Township of Pelee  
janice.hensel@pelee.ca

Dear Ms Hensel:

The Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA) is seeking input on a proposal to streamline Drainage Act approval processes that would address common stakeholder concerns about the act while maintaining our province's high environmental standards. This is part of our government's broader initiative to reduce regulatory burden in consultation with the farm and agri-food sector while preserving rules that keep Ontarians safe and healthy.

The Drainage Act Discussion Paper is posted on the province’s Environmental Registry at ero.ontario.ca/notice/019-1187. It describes some of the proposed changes which would reduce costs and project delays for farmers and other rural landowners and municipalities. The paper also poses questions for your feedback.

OMAFRA will be hosting webinars for key stakeholders who play an important role in the Drainage Act. The webinars are scheduled for:

- **January 31st**: 10:00 - 11:00 a.m.  
- **January 31st**: 1:00 - 2:00 p.m.  
- **February 7th**: 10:00 - 11:00 a.m.  
- **February 7th**: 1:00 - 2:00 p.m.

Municipalities  
Conservation Authorities  
Drainage Industry  
General Farm Organizations

To register, please contact the Agricultural Information Contact Centre (AICC) by email to ag.info.omafra@ontario.ca or call 1-877-424-1300. Although the webinars are targeted to specific audiences, you are very welcome to enroll at a time or date that better suits your schedule.
Printed copies of the French Discussion Paper are also available from the AICC on request.

Our Ministry is committed to relieving the red tape burden that will ensure drainage continues to deliver critical economic and environmental benefits that will help rural communities, agricultural landowners and drainage contractors save money and/or time. We are confident the proposed changes will assist in making this a reality.

I look forward to your input.

Sincerely,

Ernie Hardeman
Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
February 7, 2020

Township of Pelee
1043 West Shore Rd
Pelee Island, ON
N0R 1M0

Dear Council:

Re: Scudder Harbour Remediation update and request for assistance

This letter is to give council an update of the next phase of the Scudder Harbour Area Remediation. We have been working with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation & Parks’ (MECP) to find the appropriate solution that will meet every organizations needs and to ensure that our community’s only public fuel dispensing facility remain operational into the future. The Co-op, with the assistance of the municipality, has successfully raised $63,000 through donations from our community and has received a $52,700 grant from the Green Municipal Fund to conduct a subsurface investigation of the Scudder Harbour Area Lands. The study was conducted in September 2018 and concluded the following key points:

1. Contamination in the Scudder Harbour Area is wide spread, as a result of liquid petroleum hydrocarbon (PHC)-based fuels in the subsurface, technically referred to as non-aqueous phase liquid or LNAPL. The majority of the LNAPL extends from the north side of North Shore Road to the shoreline of Lake Erie, and from the east side of Mr. Stahls' property to the west side of the Marina’s property.

2. The LNAPL is not likely moving and the associated groundwater contamination is located within short distances of the LNAPL zones (on the order of 10 to 20 metres);
3. There were no detections of PHC parameters in the water samples collected from the Marina and the Stahl property;
4. Two general types of LNAPL were identified, a heavier-end LNAPL (e.g. diesel) found north of the Co-op property and a lighter-end LNAPL (e.g. gasoline) to the west of the of the Co-op property. There were other potential different LNAPL types observed on the north side of the Marina property;
5. It appears one of the potential sources of LNAPL may be related to the former gasoline station located in the southwest corner of the Transport Canada property; however, it is not possible with the available information, to definitively determine whether or not it is caused by the former gasoline station on Mr. Stahl’s property or from the Co-op;
6. Results from soil vapour samples indicated that the Harris Fishery building exceeded the screening criteria for potential vapour intrusion. Samples collected at the Co-op, Marina and the Filling Station indicated a low risk of potential vapour intrusion into these buildings. The study suggest more sampling should be taken to confirm these results, as soil vapour concentrations can be quite variable over time depending on seasonal fluctuations and different weather conditions;
7. Due to the wide spread extent of LNAPL, remediation approaches will be costly.

The Co-op's engineers, Golder, are working with MECP, and they are developing a remediation action plan. In order to complete a comprehensive remediation plan, and address data gaps that require further investigation, the following items have been identified:

1. Golder has requested information on what are the municipality's intentions with the use of the Harris Fishery building. This information will help guide further assessment and the selection of potential remedies, if necessary.
2. Golder has also asked if they could access the Harris Fishery and Marina building to do further vapour sampling. As part of this effort, sampling on the Stahl property would also be conducted, assuming access is granted. Timing for this sampling would be spring/summer time frame. Sampling at the Co-op building would also be conducted at this time.
3. There is a need to sample the Malloch drain to assess whether PHCs are impacting the drainage system. This sampling could possibly be done by the Municipality (see item #4 below).
4. The remediation plan may include the periodic addition of amendments (e.g., every few months during non-freezing conditions) and long-term monitoring will also be necessary. To help ease the financial burden of these activities, Golder is considering the whether a trained person from the island could assist. For example, the municipal Transfer Station Operator who is already working with Golder under a different scope of work.
Any assistance from the municipality would be greatly appreciated in resolving this community challenge.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

The Scudder Harbour Remediation Committee
February 5, 2020

The Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario
Premier’s Office, Room 281
Legislative Building, Queen’s Park
Toronto, ON, M7A 1A1

Dear Premier Ford:

Re: Provincially Significant Wetlands Designation

Please find attached the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford’s Resolution No. R-029-20, with respect to the Village’s concerns surrounding the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry’s practices and procedures while implementing designations of Provincially Significant Wetlands.

While the attached resolution is tailored to a Village-specific issue, it is Council’s position that the concerns expressed therein are being experienced by municipalities Province-wide.

Thank you in advance for the consideration that you give this matter.

Yours truly,

Doug Robertson
CAO/Clerk/Director, Economic Development

c. Honourable John Yakabuski, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry
Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing
Andy Brown, CAO of the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville
Association of Municipalities of Ontario
Rural Ontario Municipal Association
All Ontario municipalities

317 Brock Street West, P.O. Box 340, Merrickville, Ontario K0G 1N0
www.merrickville-wolford.ca reception@merrickville-wolford.ca
Resolution Number: R - 29 - 20

Date: January 27, 2020

Moved by: Cameron Foster Halpenny Molloy
Seconded by: Cameron Foster Halpenny Molloy

WHEREAS the Village of Merrickville-Wolford is endeavouring to adopt a new Official Plan as required per Section 17 of the Planning Act and the Village is required to incorporate the Provincial Policy Statements of the Act;

AND WHEREAS the Provincial Policy Statements require the Village to provide in its Official Plan the updated provisions of new and expanded Provincially Significant Wetlands designations;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford is concerned that the expansion of these wetlands is detrimentally affecting certain landowners and the Village’s assessment base;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford is concerned that designations of Provincially Significant Wetlands have occurred throughout the Province of Ontario without the provision of supporting evidence;
VILLAGE OF MERRICKVILLE-WOLFORD

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford is concerned about the expansion of the Provincially Significant Wetlands in the Northeast quadrant of the Village;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford is concerned that these wetlands designations have been expanded without the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry having provided to the Village supporting evidence to justify said expansion;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford does hereby respectfully request that the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry provide the Village with supporting evidence with respect to the expansion of these wetlands designations;

AND THAT the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry re-evaluate the subject properties without delay;

AND THAT a copy of this resolution be sent to the Honourable Premier Doug Ford, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the United Counties of Leeds and Grenville, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Rural Ontario Municipal Association and all Ontario municipalities.

Carried / Defeated

J. Douglas Struthers, Mayor
The Honourable Sylvia Jones  
Solicitor General  
George Drew Bldg, 18th Flr  
25 Grosvenor Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M7A 1Y6

Re: Town of Tecumseh Resolution on 911 misdials

Please be advised that the Township of Madoc Council passed the following motion to support the resolution of the Town of Tecumseh regarding 911 misdials, attached.

Motion # 20-31  
Moved by: Councillor Rowe  
Seconded by: Deputy Reeve Rollins  
That Council direct the Clerk/Planning Coordinator to write a letter of support regarding 911 misdials

-Carried-

Sincerely,

Amanda Cox  
Clerk/Planning Coordinator  
Township of Madoc

AGENDA

DATE February 27, 2020

NO. 9. F.
December 20, 2019

Hon. Sylvia Jones
Solicitor General
George Drew Bldg, 18th Flr
25 Grosvenor Street
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 1Y6

Re: Town of Tecumseh Resolution on 911 Misdials

On behalf of Mayor Gary McNamara and Town Council, I am writing to advise that at its meeting on November 12, 2019, Tecumseh Town Council passed the following resolution:

Whereas the calls for service for 911 Misdials have risen dramatically in recent years, correlated with the rise in cell phone use; and

Whereas 911 Misdials must be responded to as if they were legitimate emergency calls; and

Whereas each 911 call is responded to with two OPP officers at an average time per call of 1.2 hours; and

Whereas each 911 call is a billable call to the municipality; and

Whereas in 2019 alone to date, 911 Misdials in Tecumseh number 1,082 calls, which is 28.8% of all billable calls for service to date; and

Whereas 911 Misdials are not unique to Tecumseh and in fact are common across the Province at an estimated cost of millions of dollars;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved That the Municipal, Federal and Provincial governments and relevant associations, including but not limited to, the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards (OAPSB), the Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police (OACP), the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), be requested to lobby the telecommunications industry and smart phone manufacturers to develop a solution to 911 Misdials.

A copy of the report to Town Council (CAO-2019-09) on 911 Misdials is attached for your information. Should you require anything further, please contact the undersigned at lmoy@tecumseh.ca or extension 116.
Yours very truly,

[Signature]

Laura Moy, Dipl.M.M., CMMII HR Professional
Director Corporate Services & Clerk

LM/ep

Attachments

cc: Hon. Bill Blair, Minister of Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness
Irek Kusmierczyk, MP
Percy Hatfield, MPP
Federation of Canadian Municipalities
Association of Municipalities of Ontario
Ontario Association of Police Services Boards
Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police
Ontario Municipalities
Telus
Bell
Rogers
January 15, 2020

Mr. Jamie McGarvey, President
Association of Municipalities of Ontario
200 University Ave., Suite 801
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3C6

RE: Resolution – Conservation Authorities

Dear Mr. Jamie McGarvey:

This letter will serve to advise that at a meeting of City of Quinte West Council held on January 13, 2020 Council passed the following resolution:

Moved by Cassidy
Seconded by Alyea

Whereas the City of Quinte West has been well served by both the Lower Trent Conservation Authority and the Quinte Conservation Authority and

Whereas we have working service agreements with both Authorities and

Whereas we value the efforts of the Conservation Authorities to monitor floods, to manage source water protection and to ensure the integrity of the watersheds within our municipality and conserve our natural environment and

Whereas the current Provincial government is reviewing the mandate and potential funding to Conservation Authorities

Be it resolved that the City of Quinte West requests that the Provincial Government improve their funding of Conservation Authorities to provide a more stable funding base that would prevent any downloading of costs to municipalities

And further that the Provincial Government will maintain and not diminish the core mandate of Conservation Authorities

And further that we forward this motion to the Minister of Environment, Conservation and Parks, the Minister of Natural Resources, the Premier, the Leaders of all opposition parties, all of our local municipal partners, and AMO to seek their support and concurrence. Carried
We trust that you will give favourable consideration to this request.

Sincerely,

CITY OF QUINTE WEST

[Signature]

Jim Harrison
Mayor
January 2020

Hello,

I would like to take a moment of your time to update you on the activities of the Mount Forest Chapter of Ducks Unlimited.

We are once again fundraising to support the work of Ducks Unlimited. This year marks Ducks Unlimited 82nd year of conserving wetland habitats in Canada. DUC’s conservation activities include restoration, securement and management of wetlands and their associated habitats, public policy development, wetland and waterfowl research and public environmental education.

To this point we, the Mount Forest Chapter, have been able to contribute over $340,000 towards projects such as enhancing Luther Marsh and other local projects. This has allowed for community involvement and increased awareness about the importance of wetlands preservation.

Wetlands benefit wildlife and also people by cleaning our water, preventing floods & soil erosion, holding water during droughts and reducing the impact of climate change.

To ensure our upcoming fundraiser dinner is successful, we are again looking to our surrounding communities for support. You will be receiving a visit from one of our members in the near future and we would appreciate if you could take some time to discuss our worthwhile project with them. Thanks in advance!

Our dinner will be held in the Mount Forest & District Sports Complex on March 7, 2020 doors opening at 5:00 pm. We welcome anyone who would like to come and join us for an evening of fun and entertainment for a worthwhile cause.

Yours in Conservation,

Daryl Hutton
9535 Newman Lane, Harriston, Ont., N0G1Z0
fayedarylhutton@gmail.com
519-323-6164

Committee Member of the Mount Forest Chapter of Ducks Unlimited

AGENDA

DATE February 27, 2020

NO. 9
Dear Friend of Community Care,

Community Care of St. Catharines & Thorold, along with wildlife and environmental conservation charities, have again been chosen as the recipients of the 20th Annual Thorold Wild Game Dinner to be held Saturday, April 25th, 2020.

This often sold out event will be held at Club Capri in Thorold, traditionally attracting a large cross section of the Region. Many of the patrons continue to support this event year after year due to the uniqueness of the dinner combined with the charitable aspect.

The Thorold Wild Game Committee is requesting your support in helping make the 20th Annual the most successful night to date through financial, raffle prizes or auction item donations. Your generosity will assist the approximately 1,850 households who will visit Community Care each month seeking food and shelter security; almost 40% of our clientele seeking assistance are children. Community Care serves Thorold and St. Catharines with several of our 21 programs reaching throughout the Niagara Region.

If you are able to assist this fundraiser in any capacity, both the Thorold Wild Game Dinner Committee and Community Care will be most appreciative.

Please direct your Thorold Wild Game Dinner questions to Mr. Joe Carella at 905.227.4275 or jcarella@cogeco.ca

For more information or questions in regards to Community Care, please contact Betty-Lou Souter, CEO at bettylou@communitycarestca.ca or 905.685.1349, ext: 231.

AGENDA

Thank you for your consideration,

Joe Carella
Thorold Wild Game Dinner Chair

Betty-Lou Souter
CEO, Community Care

THANK-YOU!
Springtime in Paris - OSUM 2020

Exciting additions to the program line-up!

The 2020 OSUM Conference & Trade Show takes place April 29th – May 1st at the TownePlace Suites Brantford and Conference Centre in the County of Brant, Ontario.

As an example of one of Ontario’s hallmark small urban communities, the County of Brant is the ideal blend of small-town living with access to big-city amenities. It was recently named Canada’s 7th Best Overall Community to Live by MacLean’s magazine. It offers a vibrant, friendly, engaging, and welcoming community. OSUM looks forward to Springtime in Paris and hosting the 2020 Conference in the County of Brant!

We are pleased to announce two outstanding additions to the Conference program!

Minister’s Address: A Message from the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

The 2020 OSUM Conference is the place to be for firsthand information about the Government of Ontario’s interactions with municipal government.

The Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, will address Conference attendees on Thursday, April 30th.

Opening Keynote Speaker: Ilona Dougherty, Leading Expert on Millennials and Generation Z and Social Entrepreneur

Ilona Dougherty is an award-winning social innovator and a regular commentator in the Canadian media who helps business, civil society and government understand how to tap into the unique abilities of young people. Find out what she has to say about how governments, businesses, and organizations can succeed in the innovation economy by tapping into young people’s potential and how to spark intergenerational collaboration.

The Springtime in Paris Conference runs from April 29th beginning with a golf tournament, the opening of the trade show and an evening welcome reception. Full programming will run April 30th through May 1st. Stay tuned for more program information to be released soon.

The Registration Form is attached and available on the OSUM website. We look forward to seeing you!

AGENDA

DATE February 27, 2020

NO. 9 K.
THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF PELEE

BY-LAW NO. 2020-04

By-law to provide staff appointments of
Tile Drainage Inspector for the
Corporation of the Township of Pelee

WHEREAS Section 224 (d), of the Municipal Act, R.S.O. 2001, provides that Council may appoint to ensure that administrative practices and procedures are in place to implement the decisions of Council.

And WHEREAS Section 4 of the Tile Drainage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.d.8 provides that Council may appoint a tile drain inspector.

And WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Township of Pelee deems it expedient to approve the appointment of the Tile Drain Inspector.

Be it therefore enacted by the Municipal Council of the Township if Pelee as follows:

1. That the following appointment for Tile Drain Inspector be approved:

   • Eric Chamberlain

This By-Law shall come into full force and effect upon the final passing thereof.

Read a First, Second and Third Time, this day of , 2020

MAYOR – RAYMOND DUROCHER

AGENDA

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/CLERK -
JANICE HENSEL

DATE February 27, 2020

NO. 14. a.
THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF PELEE

BY-LAW NO. 2020-05

A Borrowing By-law to raise money to aid in the construction of drainage works under the Tile Drainage Act
Corporation of the Township of Pelee

WHEREAS landowners within the Township of Pelee apply to Council under the Tile Drainage Act for loans for the purpose of constructing subsurface drainage works;

1. The Corporation may from time to time, subject to the provisions of this by-law, borrow on the credit of the Corporation such sums not exceeding in the whole $2,000,000.00, as may be determined by the Council, and may in manner hereinafter provided, issue debentures of The Corporation for the amount so borrowed as provided in the Act payable to the Minister of Finance, which debentures shall reserve the right to prepay the whole or any part of principal and interest owing at the time of such prepayment.

2. Where an application for a loan under the Act is approved by the Council and the Inspector of Drainage has filed with the Clerk an Inspection and Completion Certificate, the Council may include a sum, not exceeding the amount applied for or 75 per cent of the total cost of the drainage work with respect to which the loan is made, in a debenture payable to the Treasurer of Ontario in accordance with the Act, and may approve of the Corporation lending the said sum to the applicant.

3. A special annual rate shall be imposed, levied and collected over and above all other rates upon the land in respect of which the money is borrowed, sufficient for the payment of the principal and interest as provided by the Act.

THIS By-law shall come into full force and effect upon the final passing thereof and shall be known as the Tile Drainage Act Borrowing By-law for participation in the Tile Loan Program.
Read a First, Second and Third Time, this day of , 2020

MAYOR – RAYMOND DUROCHER

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER/CLERK – JANICE HENSEL
THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF PELEE

BY-LAW: 2020-06

"CONFIRMATION OF PROCEEDINGS"

(February 27th, 2020)

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of Council

WHEREAS the Municipal Act 2001, as amended, states that the powers of a municipal corporation are to be exercised by by-law;

AND WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the Township of Pelee wishes to confirm the proceedings and business conducted by Council;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the Township of Pelee coes hereby enact as follows:

1. That the action of the Council at its Council meeting held on the 27th day of February, 2020 in respect to each motion, resolution and other action passed and taken by Council is hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed; save and except resolutions resulting from closed meetings.

2. That the Mayor and the proper Officers are hereby authorized and directed to execute all documents as may be necessary and the Clerk is authorized and directed to affix the Corporate Seal to all such documents.

3. That this by-law shall be cited as the "Confirmation of Proceedings By-law" (February 27th, 2020)

4. That this by-law shall come into force and take effect on the date of passing thereof.


AGENDA

DATE February 27th, 2020

MAYOR, Raymond Durocher

CAO/Clerk, Janice Hensel